Course Policies & Syllabus

WRTG 3020: Short Stories: Best American Short Stories

University of Colorado at Boulder
Program for Writing & Rhetoric
Tobin von der Nuell, Instructor
WRTG 3020: Short Stories: Best American Short Stories
MWF 1:00-1:50  Section 025 DUAN G1B35

Instructor: Tobin von der Nuell
Office: Temporary Building #1 (TB1), Room 02A
Office Hours: WF 9:51-11:30 a.m., and by appointment; I teach MWF 8, 9, 12, and 1.
Office Phone: (303) 492-4396 (+ voice-mail)
Home Phone: (303) 772-5178
Email: vondernu@colorado.edu

Contacting Me
Before you opt to email, phone me. Call my office only during my office hours; otherwise, call me at home—leave a message and I will return your call as quickly as I can. Please feel free to phone me at home anytime between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m. If you do email, please consider your audience—your writing instructor—and proceed accordingly.

Overview
Welcome to WRTG 3020: Best American Short Stories! This course is a rhetorically informed extension of WRTG 1150 (or 1250), First Year Writing and Rhetoric. Designed for upper division majors in the College of Arts & Sciences, it hones rhetorical awareness and writing skills and engages students in a range of discourses (print mostly, but some auditory and visual as well) and academic and professional disciplines.

Please understand this is neither a course in literary criticism, nor one solely in the study of the short story. The purpose of this course is to help you develop your ability to critically read; critically think; and write clear, interesting, persuasive, logically organized, and thesis-driven essays. The intent of the course, in fact, is to sharpen your skills of communicating, in writing, a thought from your head into the heads of others. We will accomplish these tasks by focusing on the three modes of academic rhetoric: description, analysis, and argument. Developing these skills is not easy. It takes practice, often in the form of several revisions. You will write at least one short assignment and two full essays of roughly 4-6 pages each. You will not be writing short stories for this class.
CCHE Criteria
This 3000-level writing course satisfies upper-division core requirements in the College of Arts & Sciences by extending student rhetorical knowledge and writing skills. This upper division course is part of the state-wide “Guaranteed Transfer” pathway of courses, as specified by the Colorado Commission of Higher Education. Thus, this course meets the goals of an Advanced Writing course (GT-CO3):

Extend Rhetorical Understanding
WRTG 3020 advances your rhetorical knowledge and awareness. This applies both to how you approach contemporary American short stories and how you write about them. In reading stories you not only analyze how they work as literature—through structure, use of language, symbol, character, plot and theme—but also how these short gems are rhetorically designed to appeal to certain audiences. How does each author draw in or distance the audience from the protagonist as he or she encounters conflict and, in succeeding or failing to overcome it, grow or change from the experience?

Your own critical writing on these stories will alert you to rhetorical choices you can make to communicate your ideas to your audience (various discourse communities)—how, for instance, you might develop your thesis by making appeals to pathos, ethos, logos, and how you can best support your claims with valid reasons, sound underlying assumptions (inferences), and convincing evidence.

Gain Experience in the Writing Process
This course offers an opportunity to improve writing through multiple drafts that respond to the perspectives of other readers. You will revise your work by considering the class’s and the instructor’s suggestions. This will confirm that writing is a collaborative enterprise. It is also a process that takes advantage of current technologies: exchanging work and consulting on writing via email (colorado.edu/D2L), as well as accessing research resources through the Internet and library data bases (Information Literacy). The class also utilizes audio and video materials, ranging from listening to authors read short stories (via Podcasts) on www.NewYorker.com or iTunes (and then writing short critiques on them) to receiving further instruction in the editing process from a video such as Richard Lanham’s “Revising Prose.”

Master Writing Conventions
By now you should have mastered the conventions of grammar and punctuation; this course enables you to hone those skills. On a more advanced level, you will reflect on how to use style, tone, and diction appropriate to your audience (discourse community) and gain practice in documenting your evidence correctly.

Demonstrate Advanced Comprehension of Content within a Specific Discipline
To communicate your insights into the dramatic texts, you will learn specialized terms from the study of literature. As you frame questions and develop theses for analysis and argument, you may choose to extend beyond the discourse community of students of literature, developing your topic along interdisciplinary lines. For instance, if you are majoring in sociology or psychology, you will be encouraged to write on an issue (story problem) arising from the stories that draws on the knowledge and terminology of that discipline but that can still communicate successfully to a non-expert audience.
Course Material (A Warning)

The course will deal in contemporary short stories from such sources as the Best American Short Stories series, The New Yorker, Harper’s Magazine, and The Atlantic Monthly. The stories often contain a realistic portrayal of the world around us, and since stories are created through conflict, some of them will contain graphic yet honest insight into a variety of sometimes uncomfortable subject matter, including substance abuse; emotional, physical, sexual abuse; depression; violence; death; sexuality; infidelity; family dysfunction; and the like.

There is the chance that this material might not sit well with everyone intending to take the course. On the matter, my position fits well with Tobias Wolff’s, and his introduction to The Vintage Book of Contemporary American Stories. In it, he writes:

As it happens, many of the stories in this book confront difficult material: violence, sickness, alcoholism, sexual exploitation, marital breakup. Well, so do we. I have never been able to understand the complaint that a story is “depressing” because of its subject matter. What depresses me are stories that don’t seem to know these things go on, or hide them in resolute chipperness; “witty” stories that flog you with transcendence. Please. We’re grown-ups now, we get to stay in the kitchen when the other grown-ups talk.

Far from being depressed, my own reaction to stories like these is exhilaration, both at the honesty and the art. The art gives shape to what the honesty discovers, and allows us to face what in truth we were already afraid of anyway. It lets us know we aren’t alone.

These are serious stories, but hardly grave.

I would hope no one would drop the course because of the material, but that is a decision you will each have to make.
Rhyme and Reason of Assignments

For the course you will write three papers: Short Assignment, Paper 2, and Paper 3. Each builds into the next and each successive paper is more demanding than the last; I take a very hands-on approach for the Short Assignment and a very hands-off approach for Paper 3. The final exam in the course is Paper 3. Though all three papers will help to establish your course grade, I will look more closely at, and weigh more heavily, the work you do for Paper 3 to decide your final grade. In other words, the course encourages you to grow as a writer, testing your abilities but allowing you room to make and learn from mistakes early on without significant penalty.

Paper 1 – Short Assignment

I control this paper and limit the choices you have. Your job with this first assignment entails critically reading, as you work through the design of a short story for you to understand its basic shape (the “plot line,” if you will). From there, you will delve into how to ask questions of the short story that require you not to rewrite it (descriptive plot summary), but to frame an argument. You will also derive inferences (analysis) in your efforts to use textual evidence to effectively support your arguable claims, your theses. The audience for the short assignment will be me, and only me; I want you to actively shape your entire rhetorical approach to that audience in order to establish a credible ethos. You must strategize about voice, types of evidence and examples, consider what this audience may already know or not know about your topic and what attitudes this audience may already have that might impede or facilitate his response. You each will have two workshops for Part One, two closed paragraphs on two of three stories. Part two, a more complete essay shaped from one of the closed paragraphs, you will write on your own and submit for a grade.

Paper 2

You will have much more freedom with this first full paper. You will pick one of four stories to write about, and you will frame your own argument (your own issue and thesis). You will begin to consider more completely counter arguments, counter objections and how you will defend effectively against them. Your audience will be the entire class: a group of literary stars well read in the subject matter but who likely has differing opinions regarding your issue/thesis and its defense, and who bring various other discourse communities to the table. I will play a less controlling role for Paper 2 than I did with the Short Assignment Paper, but I certainly will help you to shape your paper with issue ideas, wordings for your thesis, reasons why/evidence to help you defend your position, counter evidence for you to consider, and/or conclusion ideas. You each will have two workshops, one regarding the shape of your argument, and the other as a full rough draft of the argument.

Paper 3

Your final exam, if you will. Again, you will choose one of four stories to write about, and you will derive your own issue and thesis. You will have to defend against counter claims and you will have to shape well your paper. Your audience will be the editor of a literary magazine of your choosing, a professional and academic audience who understands fluently the shape of a literary argument but who may or may not know well the story you will be writing about. As this paper will stand as your final, I will not offer issue ideas, thesis statements, or evidence to support your claims. I will, however, offer counter claims that you must defend against; that is, my job will entail working to have you question what is and is not working well in the shape/intent of the paper. Like Paper 2, you will have two workshops for this assignment.

For all three papers, you are encouraged to further your knowledge of the stories by doing online research. Though most of the stories are too contemporary to have much written about them, you ought to discover what, if anything, others are arguing about the pieces. Research, of course, is a good method to discover counter evidence, through the various readings of any one story. Furthermore, most (if not all) stories make references or allude to other subject matter (historical events, real people, written works, movies, songs, so on). As such, these works become part of the data we must learn to read and analyze well, and you are encouraged to explore, via research, how such material might bring new meanings to the stories themselves.
**Writing Concepts to take away from the Course:**

1. Good writers will think not so much about themselves (what they like) as they will about their audience and their intentions for writing to that audience.

2. The act of writing is an act of dialogue, and the writer must anticipate and deal with readers’ questions and objections.

3. The writer should structure as a reader thinks, generally working from a point instead of towards one. (There are a few exceptions, such as a lab report.)

4. Written communication by its very definition assumes that readers are not psychic, and cannot understand something unless that something is actually there in the text.

5. It is a strength of an essay, and not a weakness, for the writer to help readers understand and follow a clear line of thought, through clear transitions and clearly-set-out supporting points.

6. In stating a point early and clearly, the writer is not “giving away too much.”

7. The desire to “create suspense” is a lousy excuse for a structureless or underdeveloped essay.

8. Few readers will continue to read what they already know or could learn from an earlier primary source; readers read because they want to learn something new, something original—what the writer “thinks,” and why.

9. Writing is a process, one of continually re-questioning, reshaping, and revising; all writing is improvable, and therefore revision is a means to success, not a mark of failure: a sign of strength and not of weakness.

10. Grammar, spelling, and punctuation matter: whether done correctly or not, they tell readers much about the writer’s credibility.

11. No matter how general, nebulous or abstract the subject matter, specificity and concrete details are at the heart of all good writing.

12. There is a big difference between an essay that seems impressive because it is incomprehensible, and one that is impressive because it has clarity, purpose, and development, and therefore leads to thinking on the part of the reader.

13. Although good writers attune themselves to their audience, all writers have a personal voice and it is something to be encouraged, not denied or exorcised (by writing like a textbook or aping the styles of others).

14. The world is comprised of an infinite number of data sets waiting to be made sense of beyond their descriptive makeup.

15. Outside of your undergraduate requirements, few people will care about “what you know”—facts; many people, however, will care greatly about what you can do with what you know—analyze, argue, problem-solve. Throughout life, whether in an academic, professional, or civic setting, you will be required to demonstrate it in writing.
Course Format
This course will be taught as a workshop. This means that much of our class time will be spent in the reflective process of analyzing and discussing your essays. The goal of the workshop approach is threefold: to teach you how to analyze and critique the work of others; to give you the kind of criticism you need to improve your essays; and, ultimately, to model for you the type of critical analysis you should subject your writing to after you leave this class. Students are expected to come to class prepared to join in class discussions (without being called on) and to offer helpful analyses that demonstrate insightful, close readings of their peers’ papers.

Paper Formats/Formalities
We will establish a schedule for reviewing your papers. Unless otherwise instructed, you must submit copies of all drafts so that each class member receives a copy. All papers are due the class period before their scheduled review dates. If your paper is not distributed to the class at that time, it will not be reviewed until your turn comes up again in the next rotation. Any exception to this rule shall be made at my discretion.

All work prepared outside of class is to be typed with 1.5 spacing and in a clean 10 to 12-point font. To minimize paper use, print on both sides of the paper and do not include a cover/title page. Please number your drafts so we can distinguish between various versions. To my copy, please attach a copy of the previous draft and my previous comments.

Workshops
Rules of the Game

- Arrive on time to class, especially on the days that you are handing out a draft. I want to spend no more than five minutes setting up for the next class’s workshop. Please keep in mind that the unfortunate can and will happen—lost and broken discs, printer problems, long lines for printer/copier use, etc. Do not gamble; print your copies the night before, if you must.

- Do not skip workshops; no paper has ever improved by missed workshops.

- Although I will not intentionally downgrade you for a missed workshop, I will not accept a “final draft” of a paper for which I have not seen previous drafts.

- If you distribute a draft but fail to attend the workshop, your paper will go to the bottom of the pile. If time permits, we will workshop the paper in your absence; however, I tend to want to give more time to the authors present.

- Office hours are a supplement to, not a replacement of, workshops; DO NOT expect me to give you a private workshop because you missed class.

Your Obligations

- A bit of common sense: the workshop will not function if the players do not engage themselves deeply into it; cursory, half-hearted work will help no one.

- You must come to class prepared; I will not allow you to “prep” papers during the workshops. Guilty parties will be asked to leave and marked absent for the day.

- You will prepare ALL papers to be work shopped. You will spend greater time on the papers for which you are a primary critic, but you will prep them all with WRITTEN COMMENTS. Your goal will be to offer at least one useful comment for each paper.

- You will print your name at the bottom of each draft.
Grading
Semester grades will be based on performance in the following areas; approximate percentage breakdown will be as follows:

- 85% -- Graded essays (and short writing assignments, quizzes)
- 15% -- Quality and consistency of oral critiques and general participation

Grading Scale for Papers
Grading in PWR is rigorous. You are not predestined to receive a C, but neither are you guaranteed a B- or better for “improvement” or “effort” or for merely completing assignments. Good papers require effort, and papers that are diligently revised usually improve; but each paper is graded on its final quality. While grading of written work can never be as objective as that of a SCANTRON, remember that I am on your side. My goal is to help those students who are serious about wanting to improve their writing, their thinking on paper. I can do that only through honest feedback. I encourage you to focus not on a grade, but on how well you think on paper (or screen). I do not grade on a curve; I grade you individually against the following criteria and scale.

Criteria for evaluating papers include clarity, logic, substance, originality, mechanical correctness, and stylistic grace. Preliminary drafts will not be graded.

All papers will be graded by letter:

- A = Excellent; outstanding
- B = Good; superior
- C = Competent; average
- D = Below average, but passing
- F = Not passing

- A paper needn’t be perfect to warrant an ‘A.’ In fact, there’s probably no such thing as a perfect argument/analysis or perfect paper. However, some papers are beautifully written and force the reader to change his mind, to reconsider his position on an issue, or accept a new insight as sound. Those papers will receive an ‘A.’ (Excellent in content, form and style; unusually substantive and original; imaginative; resourceful in supporting evidence; logically sound; persuasive; stylistically clear and free from mechanical errors.)

- A ‘B’ paper will be superior work. The essay needs to be quite persuasive, but if it contains problems with grammar, mechanics, and sentence-structure, it doesn’t merit an ‘A.’ A B-paper may be an A-paper in need of some polishing, or it may lack a key component. (Good with no major flaws; interesting, sharply focused, solidly supported, marked by above average thought and expression; smooth and clear style, and clearly directed at a particular audience; generally clean in grammar.)

- I consider a ‘C’ to be a commendable grade. ‘C’ means average; it does not mean "poor." You will get a ‘C’ if your paper has numerous problems with grammar, mechanics, and sentence structure. A C-paper needs to have a clear structure, though, and it needs to take a clear position and offer some support. (Reasonably competent; formally complete, focused, developed, and balanced; lacking in originality or significance of effective development of ideas, or marred by distracting errors in grammar; may contain a mixture of strengths and weaknesses. In this class, “descriptive” (that is, plot summary) papers will earn a ‘C.’)
- A ‘D’ paper contains even more mechanical problems and makes little attempt to deal with the issue and thesis at hand. (Substantially incomplete in content, form or proof; disorganized, illogical, confusing, unfocused; marked by pervasive errors or burdened with irrelevancies that impair readability.)

- An ‘F’ paper contains so many errors that it can’t be understood. A paper will receive an F if it does not fulfill the assignment guidelines or deadlines. (Incoherent or disastrously flawed; unfocused and formless, devoid of proof or rendered unreadable by elementary errors in grammar, punctuation, spelling, or mechanics; or plagiarized* in whole or in part; NEVER TURNED IN, OR LATE.)

- Grading writing assignments is always a tricky business because not everyone agrees about what constitutes good writing. If everyone agreed, we wouldn’t have so many excellent manuscripts being rejected by one publisher after another before finally being accepted. I make every attempt to grade fairly, and in the end I will stand by my judgments. On the other hand, discussion about your grade(s) can be a fruitful process as it forces both of us to think carefully about how we are evaluating written work. Grades reflect evaluation, and evaluation is central to the writing process. One of the goals of the course is to enable you to evaluate your own writing accurately and honestly. Hopefully we can use the grading process to help us achieve this goal.

*Plagiarism
Papers that fail to provide full documentation of information taken from outside sources, unless that material is considered common knowledge, will receive an ‘F.’

Honor Code
All students of the University of Colorado at Boulder are responsible for knowing and adhering to the academic integrity policy of this institution. Violations of this policy may include: cheating, plagiarism, aid of academic dishonesty, fabrication, lying, bribery, and threatening behavior. All incidents of academic misconduct shall be reported to the Honor Code Council (honor@colorado.edu; 303-725-2273). Students who are found to be in violation of the academic integrity policy will be subject to both academic sanctions from the faculty member and non-academic sanctions (including but not limited to university probation, suspension, or expulsion). Other information on the Honor Code can be found at http://www.colorado.edu/policies/honor.html.

Grading Scale for Participation

A  Always prepared for class; participates without being called on; response to other student’s papers show insight, close reading; comments clear, succinct, and helpful.

B  Generally prepared for class; occasionally participates without being called on; response to other student papers demonstrates mastery of the course goals; comments generally clear and helpful.

C  Sometimes prepared for class; only participates when called on; mastery of the course goals generally evident, but response to other student papers, although
somewhat helpful, demonstrates a less than thorough rhetorical awareness or reading of the paper.

D Inadequately prepared or never participates unless called on; response to other student papers demonstrates a superficial or inaccurate reading, at best; comments demonstrate a failure to master the course goals.

F Disruptive to class (talking inappropriately, continual tardiness, etc.); unprepared when called on; unable or unwilling to participate in class discussions.

Spring 2015 (Tentative) Syllabus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Jan. 12 | ~ Read How to Read Literature Like a Professor (HT Lit) Introduction - P. 22 | ~ First Day: Howdy, Hello, and Here We Go!  
~ Review Course Policies and Syllabus  
~ Introductions |
| Jan. 14 | ~ Read twice John Updike’s “A&P” (D2L, Stories Folder)  
~ Read “Introduction” (D2L, First Week Reading Folder)  
~ Silva Rhetoricae, an online encyclopedia of classical rhetoric at rhetoric.byu.edu: Read the links entitled “What is Rhetoric?” “Persuasive Appeals,” “Logos,” “Pathos,” and “Ethos”  
~ Bring to class either a hard copy of “Form and the Essay” or a laptop, tablet, smart phone to access it (D2L, First Week Readings Folder) | ~ Revisit any concerns or questions regarding the Course Policies and Syllabus  
~ Review “Concepts to Take Away from the Course” (in Course Policies Packet)  
~ Discuss “Form and the Essay,” Rhetoric and Rhetorical Moves, Audience, Purpose, and Voice (the relationship among form, audiences' needs and expectations, and the rhetorical situation)  
~ Hand out student essay paragraphs about Othello and Wuthering Heights |
| Jan. 16 | ~ Read “A&P” one more time (critical approach)  
~ Read HT Lit pp. 23-68  
~ Read again “Introduction” (D2L, First Week Reading Folder)  
~ Read student essay paragraphs | ~ Reading Quiz  
~ Apply our discussion of “Form and the Essay” to the student paragraphs (deconstruct their paragraphs to see how they used form to address the needs and expectations of various audiences in different rhetorical situations) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 2</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 19</td>
<td>No Classes, Martin Luther King Jr. Holiday</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Jan. 21 | ~ Based on your performance on the Reading Quiz, re-read what you must to become fluent with the data at hand  
~ Read HT Lit pp. 69 - 103 | ~ The end of “Banking” (Paulo Freire) and the Drawing of Inferences |
| Jan. 23 | ~ Review "A&P" for our first story discussion  
~ Read HT Lit pp. 104 - 142 | ~ Discuss the shape of story and apply it to a discussion of "A&P"  
~ Hand out your first writing assignment, a closed paragraph addressing our focused issue from "A&P" |
### Week 3

**For Class**
- ~ Compose a closed paragraph (Bring 21 copies to class)
- ~ Read *HT LIT* pp. 143 - 182

**In Class**
- ~ Set up “A&P” workshop (review course policies for workshop rules)
- ~ Do “A&P” worksheet, as a class

**Jan. 26**
- ~ Read “Descriptive Traps” (D2L, Short Assignment Folder)
- ~ Prepare workshop sheets (prep your classmates’ paragraphs)
- ~ Read *HT LIT* pp. 183 - 214

**Jan. 28**
- ~ Prepare Group 2’s Paragraphs
- ~ Read *HT LIT* pp. 215 - 239

**Jan. 30**
- ~ Workshop “A&P” Group 2

---

### Week 4

**For Class**
- ~ Read twice each Michael Cunningham’s “White Angel” (WA) and Susan Perabo’s “Some Say the World” (SStW) (D2L Stories Folder)

**In Class**
- ~ Set up Workshop for the “WA” Group
- ~ Finish the “SStW” discussion

**Feb. 2**
- ~ “WA” Group to craft closed paragraph (using the Rosenwasser handout)—bring copies to class

**Feb. 4**
- ~ Prepare the "WA" Group’s paragraphs
- ~ Read *HT LIT* pp. 240 - 261

**Feb. 6**
- ~ Workshop “WA” Group

---

### Week 5

**For Class**
- ~ “SStW” Group to craft closed paragraph (using the Rosenwasser handout)—bring copies to class
- ~ Read *HT LIT* pp. 262 - 305

**In Class**
- ~ Workshop the “SStW” Group

**Feb. 10**
- ~ Prepare the "SStW" Group’s paragraphs
- ~ Open to the entire class: compose one last closed paragraph about "WA" with the thesis I provided—bring copies to class
- ~ Bring *HT LIT* text to class

**Feb. 12**
- ~ Set up last "WA" workshop
- ~ Discuss briefly *HT LIT* (Tobin’s tour of how you ought to treat and use the book as a rhetoric—a tool to help coach you through the remaining stories we will read and analyze in the course)
- ~ Workshop the “SStW” Group

**Feb. 14**
- ~ Prepare the second set of "WA” paragraphs
- ~ Workshop “WA” paragraphs
- ~ Hand out *Short Assignment, Part Two Assignment Sheet* and discuss Paragraphing and Paragraph Assertions (Closed vs. Introductory Paragraphs)—Here we will advance the writing process from brainstorming, pre-writing closed paragraphs to shaping and growing the argument, the essay
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 6</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 16</td>
<td>~ Read four stories for Paper 2 (which is not to be confused with the Short Assignment); story titles TBA (I will place them on D2L, Stories Folder)--all stories will fit under the umbrella heading of &quot;Coming of Age: Symbol and Allusion&quot; ~ Continue to write you Short Assignment Essays</td>
<td>~ Discuss Stories 1 and 2, for Paper 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 18</td>
<td>~ Continue to read the new stories ~ Continue to write your Short Assignment Essays</td>
<td>~ Discuss Stories 3 and 4, for Paper 2 ~ Hand out and discuss assignment for Paper 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 20</td>
<td>~ Craft introductory/thesis paragraph for Paper 2--bring copies to class</td>
<td>~ Set up whole-class workshop for Paper 2--establish groups 1, 2 and 3 ~ Continue discussing the four new stories</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 7</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 23</td>
<td>~ Prepare Group 1’s thesis paragraphs for Paper 2 ~ Finish writing and revising your Short Assignment Essays</td>
<td>~ Workshop (WS): Group 1 (G1) ~ Hand out (HO): Group 2 (G2) ~ DUE: 12:00 Class, Short Assignment Essay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 25</td>
<td>~ Prepare Group 2’s thesis paragraphs for Paper 2</td>
<td>~ WS: G2 ~ HO: G3 ~ Translation: We will workshop Group 2 and Group 3 will hand out their paragraphs for us to read and prepare for next session’s workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>~ Prepare Group 3’s thesis paragraphs for Paper 2</td>
<td>~ WS: G3 (No group hands out today) ~ DUE: 1:00 Class, Short Assignment Essay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 8</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2</td>
<td>~ Shape, grow and edit Paper 2</td>
<td>~ Discuss Passive Voice and Richard Lanham’s Paramedic Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 4</td>
<td>~ Group 1A (half of Group 1) will bring copies of new workshop drafts of Paper 2</td>
<td>~ Set up small-group workshop for Paper 2: HO: G1A ~ Review the Twenty Most Common Grammar &amp; Punctuation Error (in conjunction with the Purdue Owl website)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 6</td>
<td>~ Prepare G1A’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G1A ~ HO: G1B (other half of Group 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 9</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>~ Prepare G1B’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G1B ~ HO: G2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 11</td>
<td>~ Prepare G2A’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G2A ~ HO: G2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 13</td>
<td>~ Prepare G2B’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G2B ~ HO: G3A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Week 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 16</td>
<td>~ Prepare G3A’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G3A&lt;br&gt;~ HO: G3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 18</td>
<td>~ Prepare G3B’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 20</td>
<td>~ Continue to write, revise, and edit Paper 2&lt;br&gt;~ Begin reading four stories (TBA) for Paper 3; the stories will fall under the umbrella heading of “Getting Along in a Complicated World: Gender, Race, and Class”</td>
<td>~ Review Paper 2 Paragraph Examples (in conjunction with Graff’s <em>They Say, I Say</em> -- transitions, metacommentary)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Week 11

Spring Break, No Classes

### Week 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 30</td>
<td>~ Read the four stories for Paper 3&lt;br&gt;~ Continue to write, revise, and edit Paper 2</td>
<td>~ Discuss Stories 1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 1</td>
<td>~ Continue reading the four stories for Paper 3&lt;br&gt;~ Continue to write, revise, and edit Paper 2</td>
<td>~ Discuss Stories 3 and 4&lt;br&gt;~ Hand out and discuss the Assignment for Paper 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 3</td>
<td>~ Craft introductory/thesis paragraph for Paper 3&lt;br&gt;~ Finish revising and editing Paper 2</td>
<td>~ Set up whole-class workshop: HO: G1&lt;br&gt;~ Finish discussing the new batch of stories</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Week 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 6</td>
<td>~ Prepare Group 1’s thesis paragraphs for Paper 3</td>
<td>~ DUE: 1:00 Class, Paper 2&lt;br&gt;~ WS: G1&lt;br&gt;~ HO: G2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 8</td>
<td>~ Prepare Group 2’s thesis paragraphs for Paper 3</td>
<td>~ WS: G2&lt;br&gt;~ HO: G3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 10</td>
<td>~ Prepare Group 3’s thesis paragraphs for Paper 3</td>
<td>~ DUE: 12:00 Class, Paper 2&lt;br&gt;~ WS: G3 (No group hands out today)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Week 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 13</td>
<td>~ Group 1A (half of Group 1) will bring copies of new workshop drafts of Paper 3</td>
<td>~ HO: G1A&lt;br&gt;~ Review Grammar, Punctuation, Style Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 15</td>
<td>~ Prepare G1A’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G1A&lt;br&gt;~ HO: G1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 17</td>
<td>~ Prepare G1B’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G1B&lt;br&gt;~ HO: G2A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Week 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 20</td>
<td>~ Prepare G2A’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G2A&lt;br&gt;~ HO: G2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 22</td>
<td>~ Prepare G2B’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G2B&lt;br&gt;~ HO: G3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 24</td>
<td>~ Prepare G3A’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G3A (No Hand Out today--last weekend to write Paper 3; manage your time well)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Week 16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>For Class</th>
<th>In Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>~ Continue to write, revise and edit Paper 3</td>
<td>~ HO: G3B&lt;br&gt;~ FCQs&lt;br&gt;~ Conferences at my office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td>~ Prepare G3B’s drafts (Round 2 -- Small-Group Workshop)</td>
<td>~ WS: G3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May. 1</td>
<td>~ Finish writing, revising, and editing Paper 3</td>
<td>~ DUE: Paper 3 (turn into me, at my office, between 10:30 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Course Policies & Procedures

Attendance
This course is process-oriented, not content-oriented. Accordingly, attendance, promptness, and preparedness are essential to doing well in the course. Late or incomplete assignments, a passive approach to class activities, and frequent absences or lateness, even early in the semester, will affect your final grade because they will interrupt and impede your practice of writing. To pass this course, you must keep up with the required reading; participate in class discussions and keep up with written exercises; help your fellow students practice writing by reading, editing, and offering detailed critiques of their writing; submit all the writing assignments listed on the class schedule on the dates each is due; arrive in class on time; and attend class regularly.

Class participation, including participation in discussion, in-class writing exercises, and workshop critiques, counts for 15% of your final grade; it is an essential component of the course.

Because this course is structured as a writing workshop, it is imperative that you consistently attend class (always arriving on time with a positive attitude) to make the class worthwhile for yourself and others. Each absence after 3 ABSENCES will drop your participation grade. If you miss 6 times or more, you will receive a zero for class participation. With 7 absences or more, your overall grade, beyond the effects of a zero for participation, will drop another third of a grade (B- to C+, for example). At 10 absences—almost a fourth of the sessions—you will FAIL the class, NO EXCEPTIONS. I DO NOT distinguish between unexcused and excused absences—if you are here, you're here; if not, not. If you have a temporary medical condition or injury, see guidelines at [http://www.colorado.edu/disabilityservices/go.cgi?select=temporary.html](http://www.colorado.edu/disabilityservices/go.cgi?select=temporary.html)

Campus policy regarding religious observances requires that faculty make every effort to deal reasonably and fairly with all students who, because of religious obligations, have conflicts with scheduled exams, assignments or required attendance. In this class, if you will be absent due to religious observance, I ask that you let me know about it beforehand; there is, of course, no penalty for exercising a right to religious observance as long as I know about it prior to the absence. See full details at [http://www.colorado.edu/policies/fac_relig.html](http://www.colorado.edu/policies/fac_relig.html)

Recognize that this class begins sharply on the hour; excessive tardies will add up to absences. Being absent does not entitle you to be non-active in the next session's workshop; therefore, it is YOUR RESPONSIBILITY to approach me, at least a few minutes before class starts, to gather papers and a general sense of what we did in your absence.

Class Participation
If you expect to learn from the course, you must attend class and participate in the critiquing of student work. You will need to prepare for class and take part in class discussions consistently. Be prepared to talk!

Classroom Etiquette
Before class begins please turn off and store away all electronic devices. If I catch you—no matter how discretely—texting, phoning, checking messages, listening to music or surfing on-line during class, I will ask you to leave and mark you absent for the day. Please do not put me in the position of having to embarrass us both because of your highly rude habits.

Communication
The nature of this course requires that we be able to communicate well outside of regular class time if necessary. Therefore, please make certain you check your CU email account often.
If a situation arises that interferes with your ability to do the work for this class, please tell me about it, write me a note, or talk to me after class. I would rather learn about problems, concerns, or suggestions during the course when I have a chance to change things, than on a course evaluation, when it is too late to remedy things for you.

**University Policies**

**Disability Statement**

If you qualify for accommodations because of a disability, please submit to me a letter from Disability Services in a timely manner so that your needs can be addressed. Disability Services determines accommodations based on documented disabilities. Contact Disability Services at 303-492-8671 or by e-mail at dsinfo@colorado.edu.

**ESL**

If you speak English as a second language, you should contact me before the third class meeting so that I can better assist you in the course, advise you about special ESL courses, and/or refer you to appropriate services on campus.

**Classroom Behavior**

Students and faculty each have responsibility for maintaining an appropriate learning environment. Students who fail to adhere to such behavioral standards may be subject to discipline. Faculty have the professional responsibility to treat all students with understanding, dignity, and respect, to guide classroom discussion and to set reasonable limits on the manner in which they and their students express opinions. Professional courtesy and sensitivity are especially important with respect to individuals and topics dealing with differences in race, culture, religion, politics, sexual orientation, gender, gender variance, and nationalities. Class rosters are provided to the instructor with the student’s legal name. I will gladly honor your request to address you by an alternate name or gender pronoun. Please advise me of this preference early in the semester so that I may make appropriate changes to my records. See policies at [http://www.colorado.edu/policies/classbehavior.html](http://www.colorado.edu/policies/classbehavior.html) and at [http://www.colorado.edu/studentaffairs/judicialaffairs/code.html#student_code](http://www.colorado.edu/studentaffairs/judicialaffairs/code.html#student_code) (http://www.colorado.edu/policies/classbehavior.html).

**Discrimination/Harassment**

The University of Colorado at Boulder policy on Discrimination and Harassment, the University of Colorado policy on Sexual Harassment and the University of Colorado policy on Amorous Relationships apply to all students, staff, and faculty. Any student, staff or faculty member who believes s/he has been the subject of discrimination or harassment based upon race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, religion, sexual orientation, or veteran status should contact the Office of Discrimination and Harassment (ODH) at 303-492-2127 or the Office of Judicial Affairs at 303-492-5550. Information about the ODH, the above referenced policies and the campus resources available to assist individuals regarding discrimination or harassment can be obtained at [http://www.colorado.edu/odh](http://www.colorado.edu/odh).

My signature below indicates that I have read, understood, and accepted for the duration of the semester the above Course Policies and tentative Syllabus for WRTG 3020, Spring 2015.

Signature: _____________________________ Date: ________________

Print Name: __________________________
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